

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,989	09/15/2003	Steve Tentler	34586.22	2252	
32300 7	590 08/25/2004	•	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A. 2200 IDS CENTER			RICCI, Jo	RICCI, JOHN A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3712		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,989	TENTLER, STEVE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Ricci	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .		
,— ,	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to	allowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10-793131. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim(s) of this application is merely broader than the claim(s) of the co-pending application. Once an applicant has received a patent for a specific embodiment, he is not entitled to a patent for a generic or broader invention; the more specific anticipates the broader. In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or

Art Unit: 3712

patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

* * * * * *

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tentler et al 6,125,833.

Tentler shows a bowstring release strap having a padded inner layer 20, non stretchable layer 42, and third layer 21.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Summers et al 5,937,841 in view of Hickman 2,394,856.

Summers shows a bow string release strap having a first end having a receiver 16 with a pin to be received in holes 14 in the second end. However, when the ends are disengaged, they become loosely separated, so it may be difficult for a user to place the strap on the wrist and fasten the ends. Hickman shows that a wrist strap may include a first end 10, second end 12, and a member 21 extending between the ends to form a loop, which keeps the ends together when they are disengaged, so it is easier to re-fasten the ends. One would recognize that this extension member would be useful with the wrist strap of Summers to assist one in fastening the ends about the wrist. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the wrist strap of Summers with the end connector of Hickman.

* * * * *

Claims 1-14 are not rejected over the prior art. The art does not disclose the wrist strap having a receiver on a first end having a pin; holes through the second end to be received on the pin; and the second end having a member of width greater than the width of the receiver to prevent withdrawal of the member.

* * * * *

Art Unit: 3712

. .

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

* * * * * *

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 703-308-4751

Fax: Use 703-872-9306 for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

My supervisor is Derris Banks, 703-308-1745.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

John fin

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3712